Action and Response Sheet

Community Development Group Tuesday 20 February 2018

| Minute Number | Actions | Officer Responsible | Response |
|------------------|---|---|---|
| 19. | The Executive Manager- Neighbourhoods discuss with the Executive Manager – Communities the possibility of Nottinghamshire County Council working with developers of nee sites within the Borough to introduce public transport provision. | Executive Manager – Neighbourhoods Executive Manager – Communities | The need for improved Public Transport is already a consideration and discussion point with developers of new sites as part of the Local Plan and planning application processes. |
| | The issue of rail services and provision within the Borough be considered for addition to the Group's Work Programme for a future Community Development Group meeting. | Executive Manager – Communities | Added to the work programme for November however this issue has already been considered for the Poacher Line by the Bingham and Radcliffe on Trent Growth Board and a response has been sent for government consultation. |
| 20. | An interim report be provided to the Group with an update regarding the action plan of tackling single use plastics at the September 2018 meeting of the Group. | Executive Manager - Neighbourhoods | Added to the work programme for September 2018. |

| 21. | The Executive Manager – Neighbourhoods discuss | Executive Manager – | Policy 1 in the emerging Local |
|-----|--|---------------------|---|
| | with the Executive Manager – Communities and the | Neighbourhoods | Plan Part 2 requires, inter alia, |
| | planning department to see if a new requirement of two | | that, "the provision of |
| | parking spaces per new build could be introduced to | Executive Manager – | parking is in accordance with |
| | prevent residents from parking on the road. | Communities | advice provided by the |
| | | | Highways Authority;" The 6Cs |
| | | | Design Guide, adopted by the |
| | | | Nottinghamshire County |
| | | | Council, provides guidance on |
| | | | highway matters associated |
| | | | with development proposals |
| | | | and includes standards for off |
| | | | street parking. These |
| | | | standards are not prescriptive, |
| | | | i.e. they do not specify a |
| | | | minimum parking standard and |
| | | | recognise the need for flexibility |
| | | | depending on the location of the site in relation to services |
| | | | |
| | | | and public transport links. The |
| | | | level of parking required in relation to a specific |
| | | | development proposal would |
| | | | also be considered in the |
| | | | determination of a planning |
| | | | application, having regard to |
| | | | any consultation responses |
| | | | from the highway authority. |
| | | | |
| | | | In addition, the National |
| | | | Planning Policy Framework |
| | | | advises that parking standards |

| | should take into account: the accessibility of the development; the type, mix and use of development; the availability of and opportunities for public transport; local car ownership levels; and an overall need to reduce the use of high-emission vehicles. |
|--|---|
|--|---|